

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-1113

September Term, 2023

DOJ-Pub. L. No. 118-50

Filed On: June 18, 2024

TikTok Inc. and ByteDance Ltd.,

Petitioners

v.

Merrick B. Garland, in his official capacity as
Attorney General of the United States,

Respondent

Consolidated with 24-1130

No. 24-1183

BASED Politics Inc.,

Petitioner

v.

Merrick B. Garland, in his official capacity as
Attorney General of the United States,

Respondent

ORDER

Upon consideration of the joint motion to consolidate and to modify the briefing schedule, it is

ORDERED that the motion to consolidate No. 24-1113, et al., with No. 24-1183 be granted. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the following revised briefing format and schedule will apply to these cases:

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-1113**September Term, 2023**

Brief of TikTok Petitioners (not to exceed 13,000 words)	June 20, 2024
Brief of Creator Petitioners (not to exceed 13,000 words)	June 20, 2024
Brief of Petitioner BASED Politics (not to exceed 6,500 words)	June 27, 2024
Briefs of Amici Supporting Petitioners or Supporting Neither Party, if any (not to exceed 6,500 words)	June 27, 2024
Brief of Respondent (not to exceed 19,500 words)	July 26, 2024
Briefs of Amici Supporting Respondent, if any (not to exceed 6,500 words)	August 2, 2024
Reply Brief of TikTok Petitioners (not to exceed 6,500 words)	August 15, 2024
Reply Brief of Creator Petitioners (not to exceed 6,500 words)	August 15, 2024
Reply Brief of Petitioner BASED Politics (not to exceed 3,250 words)	August 15, 2024

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Selena R. Gancasz
Deputy Clerk

United States Court of Appeals

District of Columbia Circuit
Washington, D.C. 20001-2866

Mark J. Langer
Clerk

(202) 216-7300

NOTICE TO COUNSEL:

SCHEDULING ORAL ARGUMENT

The court has entered an order setting a briefing schedule in a case in which you are counsel of record. Once a briefing order has been entered, the case may be set for oral argument.

You will be notified by separate order of the date and time of oral argument. Once a case has been calendared, the Clerk's Office cannot change the argument date, and ordinarily the court will not reschedule it. Any request to reschedule must be made by motion, which will be presented to a panel of the court for disposition. The court disfavors motions to postpone oral argument and will grant such a motion only upon a showing of "extraordinary cause." See D.C. Cir. Rule 34(g).

If you are the arguing counsel, and you will be unavailable to appear for oral argument on a date in the future, so advise the Clerk's Office by letter, filed electronically. The notification should be filed as soon as possible and updated if a potential scheduling conflict arises later, or if there is any change in availability. To the extent possible, the Clerk's Office will endeavor to schedule oral argument to avoid conflicts that have been brought to the court's attention in advance. See D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures at IX.A.1, XI.A.

Counsel must notify the court when serious settlement negotiations are underway, when settlement of the case becomes likely, and when settlement is reached. Such notice allows for more efficient allocation of judicial resources. Additionally, counsel should promptly notify the court if settlement negotiations are terminated. Notice must be given in an appropriate motion or by letter to the Clerk at the earliest possible moment. See, e.g., D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures at X.D., XI.A.

Rev. March 2017